Chapter 13Fish Stock Propagation

Contributors: Kai Lorenzer Stephen Smith Michael Banks Changk Zhang Zacharie Sohow N. Sanjeevan Andrew Rosenberg (Lead Member)

1. Definition

Fish stock propagation, more commonly known **iss** fries enhancements under controlled organisms provision of artificial l "Fisheries refers to the harvestin and "natural ecosystem"sare ecosy truly natural or modified by h intermediate position between ca and management control (Anders

The present chaptefocusesprimatorial organisms, the most common for

the focal ecosystem

and economic damage. Incentives stakeholders or management agencies to engage in enhancement activities can exist even in the absence of evident the inflectiveness, and once investments ave been made and stakeholders have become vested, it becomes increasingly ifficult to discontinue

## 3.4 Stock dynamics and management

Quantitative assessment of stock dynamics and the potential of enhancement as well as alternative management optionssuch as harvest restrictions contribute to stock management objectives important at all stages of enhancement initiative addy and Defeg 2003; Walters and Martell, 2004; Lorenzen 2005). Different considerations apply to ranching, stock enhancement and restocking systematic 2) In ranching systems where maintaining natural recruitment is not a management goal, stock structure could be manipulated to maximize biomass production food fisheries or to maximize abundance of 'catchable' size fish in-and-take recreational fisheries. In stock enhancemenstwhere cultured fish are released into wild population is would be desirableto manage stocking and harvesting activitizes as to limit negative impacts on naturally recruiting stock components which may arise from compensatory ogical responses to stocking or fromoverfishing of the natural spawning stockilborn and Eggers 2000; Lorenze 2005). Such effects may reduce or eliminate net benéfiten enhancement and pose conservation threats to wild stourkspacts of enhancements on wild stocks couldbe reduced by separating the cultured and wild population components as far as technicalbossible at the point of stocking, and through differential harvestingand possibly induced terility of cultured fish (Lorenzen, 2005; Naish et al. 2007; Mobrand et al. 2005). According to these authorsestockings likely to be advantageousver natural recovery only for populations that have been depleted to a verylow fraction of their carrying capacity nd requires concomitant reductions in fishing effort (Lorenzen 2005) Fisheries models and assessment touts now available to conduct such quantitative assessment at all stages in the development or reform of enhancementsTw 2.98 0 Td (e)T4(s)6(hi Tw -)4(e)-1(ry)4ea4(ap)-4(a(s)5.(d)-4(.1(n)-2p )]TJ 0 [i)10(l

2011). The ICES Code of Practice on the Introductions and Transfers of Marine Organisms (ICES, 2005) is widely accepted and applies to introductions carried out for the purpose of fisheries enhancements

5. Future trends

Enhancements are likely to become more wiphes at as burgeoning demand for seafood and increasingly severe human impacts on the coastal oceans create greater demand for proactive management, aquaculture technologies become available for an ever-increasing number of marine species, and governance arrangements for many fisheries move towards rights ased systems that provide strong incentives for investment in resources (Lorenzen et al2013). Greater scientifiand management attention to enhancements is required to aid the development of potential figetive initiatives and to avoid widespread investment in ineffective or daring g

Table 1. Elements of the updated "responsible approach" to fishemidesanecement (Lorenzen et al. 2010).

## Stage I: Initial appraisal and goal setting

(1) Understand the role of enhancement within the fishery system

(2) Engage stakeholders and develop a rigorous and accountable demonstration process

- (3) Quantitatively assess contributions of enhancement to fisheries management goals
- (4) Prioritize and select target species and stocks for enhancement
- (5) Assess economic and social benefits and costs of enhancement

Stage II: Research and technology development including pilot studies

(6) Define enhancement system designs suitable for the fishery and manage objectives

- (7) Design appropriate aquaculture systems
- (8) Use genetic resource management to avoid deleterious genetic effects
- (9) Use disease and health management
- (10) Ensure that released hatchery fish can be identified
- (11) Use an empirical process for defining optimal release strategies

Stage III: Operational implementation and adaptive management

(12) Devise effective governance arrangements

(13) Define a stock management plan with clear goals, measures of success and decision rules

- (14) Assess and manage ecological impacts
- (15) Use adaptive management

Table 2.Design criteria for biologicatechnical components of marine enhancement fisheries systems serving different objectives (adapted from Lorenzen et2a012).

	Sea ranching	Stock enhancement	Restocking
Aim of enhancement	Increase fisheries catch	Increase fisheries catch while conserving or increasing naturally recruiting stock	Rebuild depleted wild stock to higher abundance
Wild population status	Absent or insignificant	Numerically large	Numerically large or small
		Possibly depleted relative to carrying capacity	
			Depleted relative to carrying capacity
Aquaculture management	Production oriented Partial domestication	Integratedprogrammes	Conservatiororiented
		as for restocking	Minimize domestication
		Separateфrogrammes as for sea ranching	Conditioning for release
	Conditioning for release		
	Possibly induced sterility		
Genetic management	Maintain genetic diversity Selection for high return	Integratedprogrammes	
		as for restocking	
		Separatedprogrammes	
		as for sea ranching;	
		alsoselection to promote separation	

## References

- Anderson, J.L. (2002). Aquaculture and the future: why fisheries economists should care. Marine Resource Economics 133-151.
- Arnason, R. (2001). The economics of ocean ranching: experiences, outlook and theory FAO Fisheries Technical Paper 413. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Araki, H., Berejikian, B.A., Ford, MahdBlouin, M.S. (2008). Fitness of hatcheegared salmonids in the wildEvolutionary Applications 342-355.
- Bartley, D.M., Bonda Reantaso, M.Gand Subasinghe, R.P. (2006). A risk analysis framework for aquatic animal health management in marine stock enhancement programmes Fisheries Resear 60:28-36.
- Baskett, M.L.andWaples, R.S. (2013) valuating alternative strategies for minimizing unintended fitness consequences of cultured individuals on wild populations. Conservation Biolog 7:83-94.
- Bell, J.D., Leber, K.M., Blankenship, H.L., Loneragana NdR asuda, R. (2008). A new era forrestocking, stock enhancement and sea ranching of coastal fisheries resources Reviews in Fisheries Scient 6e1-9.
- Blankenship, H.land Leber, K.M. (1995). A responsible approach to marine stock enhancement American Fisheries Society Symposition 67-175.
- Born, A.F., Immink, A.and Bartley, D.M. (2004) Marine and coastal stocking: global status and information need FAO Fisheries Technical Paper. 429 me: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. 1018.
- Brown, C. and Day, R.L (2002). The future of enhancements: lessons for hatchery practice from conservation biology ish & Fisheries: 79-94.
- Caddy, J.FandDefeo, O. (2003). Enhancing or restoring the productivity of natural populations of shellfish and other marine invertebrate resource Source Sou
- Costello M.J.(2014) Long live Marine Reserves: A review of experiences and benefits. Biological Conservation 76: 289296.
- Crawford, S.S2001) Salmonine introductions to the Laurentain Great Lakes: an historical review and evaluation of ecological effects. active Special Publication of Fisheries and Aquatic Science 32 205 pp.
- Drummond, K. (2004). The role of stock enhancement in the management framework for New Zealand's southern scallop fishery. In: Leber, K.M, Kitada, S., Blankenship H.L., and Svåsand, T., editors. Stock Enhancement and Sea Ranching: (h

Whitelaw, C.B.A., editors. Sustainable Food Production. New York, NY: Springer Sciencepp. 11391157.

- Leley K, RemyZephir, B., GraceR, andCostello M.J.(2012) Mapping habitat change after 30 years in a marine reserve shows how fishing can alter ecosystem structure.Biological Conservatiof55:193–201.
- Le VayL., Carvalho, G.R., Quinitio, E.T., Lebata, J.H., Ut, V.Mushinhi, H. (2007). Quality of hatcheryreared juveniles for marine fisheries stock enhancement. Aquaculture 268: 169-180.
- Lorenzen, K. (2005). Population dynamics and potential of fishetices enhancement: practical theory for assessment and policy analysis. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Societs 360 171-189.
- Lorenzen, K. (2008). Understanding and managing enhancement fisheries systems. Reviews in Fisheries Scie**n6e**10-23.
- Lorenzen, K. (2014) Understanding and managing enhancements: why fisheries scientists should care. Journal of Fish Biology 8671829.
- Lorenzen, K., Leber, K, MandBlankenship, H.L. (2010). Responsible approach to marine stock enhancement: an updat Reviews in Fisheries Sciente 89-210.
- Lorenzen, K., Beveridge, M.C, MandMangel, M. (2012). Cultured fish: integrative biology and management of domestication and interactions with wild fish. Biological Review §7:639-660.
- Lorenzen, K., Agnalt, A.L. Blankenship, H.L. Hines, A.H., Leber, L.M., Loneragam, N.R. Taylor, M.D. (2013). Evolving context and maturing science: aquacultassed enhancement and restoration enter the marine fisheries management toolbox. Reviews in FishesicScienc 21:213-221.
- Michael, J.H., Appleby, And Barr, J. (2009). Use of the AHA model in Pacific salmon recovery, hatchery, and fishery planning. American Fisheries Society Symposium 71:455-464.
- Miller, L.M, Kapuscinski, A.R. (2003). Genetic guidelines for hatchery supplementation programmes In: Hallerman, E.M., editoPopulation Genetics: Principles and Applications for Fisheries Scientisethesda, MD: American Fisheries Society. pp. 329355.
- Mobrand, LE., Barr, J., Blankenship, L., Campton, D.E., Evelyn, T.T., Flagg, T.A., Mahnken,C.V.W, Seeb, L.W., Seidel, PaRdSmoker, W.W. (2005). Hatchery reform in Washington State: principles and emerging issues. FisBeriets-23.
- Naish, K.A., Taylor, J.Eevin, P.S., Quinn, T.P., Winton, J.Bppert, D., and Hilborn, R. (2007). An evaluation of the effects of conservation and fishery enhancement

hatcheries on wild populations of salmon. Advances in Marine Biology 1-194.

- Nicholas, J.W. and Hankin G. (1989) Chinook salmon populations in Oregon coastal river basins: description of life histories and assessment of recent trends in run strengths. Corvallis: Oregon State University Extension Service. 359 pp.
- NMFS 2000Viable Salmonid Populations and the Recovery of Evolutionarily Significant Units. U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-NWFSQ12. Seattle: Northwest Fisheries Center.
- ODFW (1998)Fish Propagation Annual Report for 1997. Salem, @Bgo@ Department of Fish and Wildlife.
- Olla, B.L.Davis, M.W.and Ryer, C.H. (1998). Understanding how the hatchery environment represses or promotes the development of behavioral survival skills.Bulletin of Marine Science 65231-550.
- Paquet, P.J., Flagg, T., Appleby, A., Barr, J., Blankenship, L., Campton, D., Delarm, M., Evelyn, T., Fast, D., Gislason, J. Kline, P., Maynard, D., Mobrand, L., Nandor, G., Seidel, 厚露領(後時報他)的4(20-21))8813400-2025(7260),984(3720)232)925(3734(56))-86)275 fisheries—achieving mutiple goals: results of the Hatchery Scientific Review Group's Columbia River basin review. Fishenes 47-561.

Zhang, C.I., Kim, Sunderson D., Marasco, R., Lee, J.B, Park, H.W, and Lee, J.H. (2009) An ecosystembased fisheries assessment approach for Korean fisheries. Fisheries Researd 60: 26-41.