20<sup>th</sup> Meeting Report of the International Law Commission on the work of its sixty-sixth session (A/69/10) [item 78]

# STATEMENT BY Dr. Liesbeth Lijnzaad

Legal Adviser, Netherlands Ministry of Foreign Affairs

United Nations General Assembly 69<sup>th</sup> Session

Sixth Committee
Agenda item 78
Report of the International Law Commission
Part 1

#### PART 1

### Chapters I III, XIV, IV and V

Mr. Chairman,

- 1. Let me first of all express our appreciation to the International Law

  Commission for the work achieved during its sixty-sixth session. The agenda

  of the Commission has become unusually full in recent years, and we

  congratulate the members of the Commission on their zeal and energy to

  work on such a broad range of topics.
- 2. The enthusiasm of the Commission has also lead to a long list of homework for States under the heading of "Specific issues on which comments would be of particular interest to the Commission". We have taken note of the many matters on which the Commission seeks information and will do our best to provide this to further your work.

# Chapter IV (Expulsion of Aliens)

Mr. Chairman,

3. I would like to turn to the topic of Expulsion of Aliens. My Government notes the ninth report of the Special Rapporteur, Mr. Maurice Kamto, and the adoption of the draft articles. The draft articles cite many standards, particularly those related to human rights, essential to the treatment of

everyone who is expelled. However, we regret that the draft articles as adopted by the Commission are not merely a codification of state practice but go beyond the currently applicable rules of international law on expulsion of aliens. Over the past years my government has consistently objected to such progressive development of international law, and we still have serious concerns.

4. We note the Commission's recommendations to the General Assembly, and feel compelled to state that we cannot support either of them. We refer to the statement by the European Union that details our disappointment with the draft articles. The elaboration in the future of a convention on the basis of these draft articles cannot not be supported by my government either.

### Chapter V

## (Protection of persons in the event of disasters)

Mr. Chairman,

5. I would now like to turn to the topic of the protection of persons in the event of disasters. On the outset, please allow me to wholeheartedly congratulate the Special Rapporteur, Mr. Valencia-Ospina, with the results of his study so far. Indeed, we currently have 21 articles adopted by the Commission in first reading with commentaries, which is an

- impressive result, and my government intends to submit its comments on these draft articles.
- 6. I would like to take this opportunity to briefly comment on the newly proposed articles in the seventh report of the Rapporteur. The seventh report comprehensively focusses on the protection of relief personnel, equipment and goods, as well as on the relation between the draft articles and rules of international law.
- 7. Concerning the previous article 3 bis, currently article 4 (use of terms), my government, in general, sees merit in the inclusion of such a provision, as this enhances the clarity and the common understanding of these draft articles. As was suggested in the Commissions' debate, we consider it useful to merge this article with the previous article, i.e. article 3, which provides for a definition of the term "disaster". It seems logical to have a single provision which explains the meaning of all the terms used in the draft articles.
- 8. Concerning previous article 14 bis, currently draft article 18 (protection of relief personnel, equipment and goods) we are pleased to see the inclusion of a specific article on the duty to protect relief personnel, equipment and goods, as this is clearly an issue of concern in contemporary situations of disaster. We note that the Drafting Committee

- 11. In closing, some comments on the other decisions and conclusions of the Commission (Chapter XIV).
- 12. First of all, we note that the Commission decided to include the topic of *ius cogens* on its long-term programme of work. One of the criteria for the selection of atopic is that it should reflect the needs of the States in respect of the progressive development and codification of international law. My government remains to be convinced that there is actually a need for the Commission to embark on a study of *ius cogens*.
- 13. We very much appreciate the note by mr. Tladi, annexed to the ILC report, which clarifies the origin of the idea to address *ius cogens*. It would appear that the reference to *ius cogens* in the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, and the fact that this was a novelty at the time of drafting the VCLT (thus: progressive development) is more or less the trigger for the debate, together with the failed attempt of then ILC member mr. Jacovides in the ninety-nineties to return to the matter.
- 14. However we wish to raise some doubts, in particular on the remit of a study of the notion of *ius cogens*. It is hard to see whether there is a specific need amongst States with respect to the codification or progressive development of the notion *ius cogens*. There is language in

- the VCLT, which may not have been codification at the time of drafting but would appear satisfactory at this stage.
- 15. While the VCLT contains express references to *ius cogens*, it equally appears in the shape of customary law. We would have similar hesitations with considering *ius cogens* in the form of rules of customary international law

- Mr. Chairman,
- 17. The Commission earlier decided to include the topic of crimes against humanity onto its programme of work, and we congratulate mr Sean Murphy on his appointment as Special Rapporteur.
- 18. As I stated last year, there is no doubt that the prevention and prosecution of this horrendous crime is of the utmost importance, and it is an issue that requires the constant vigilance of the international community. Thus we appreciate that the Commission is looking into the desirability of

but rather the operational tools to ensure an effective prosecution at the domestic level.

20. The principle of complementarity requires that we facilitate the cooperation between the judicial authorities of our States in order to s in

Senegal and Slovenia, my country has taken the initiative to propose opening negotiations for a Multilateral Treaty for Mutual Legal Assistance and Extradition in Domestic Prosecution of Atrocity Crimes.

Many states from all regional groups support this initiative, and we hope that others will join us in this effort. T3()-4427.531 0 0 1 126hop]TJETQMC P MCID