

Mr Chairman,

Ļ

It is an honour for me to address this Sixth Committee on the work of the 70th session of the International Law Commission. I will begin by referring to the issue of subsequent agreements and subsequent practice in relation to the interpretation of treaties.

Spain welcomes the completion of this work, whose progress has had the opportunity to promise in a completion of this work, whose progress has had the opportunity to

the commentary to conclusion 11 maintains in the context of those procedures that may lead to agreements within the Conferences of the Parties.

.

3

×	When also accorden the	at a balanced twee	4	- to the amostice of	
j					
1					
2					
· 					
r —	· · · · · ·	••	• · · • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
		Į#,			
·		¶≝			
·	24	¶≊			
		ξ ^α ,			
·		ΥΨ ,			
		¥*			
		¶≌			

٤	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
T T	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
r_ 1	
1	

1

-

.

) Word il more address the result of the Internetional I are Commission as records (7L

L.	
1	
, U	

4n			
2	·{ *		
		 ·····	

	We also consider it import	ant to draw attention-as	Spain has repeatedly done	e—to the	z
9					
.,					
5					
r					
<u></u>					
•					
(+ *					
-					
		· · · - · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·
ix					
1999 <u></u>					
:					
·					
1					
2					
J					
z					

and forming the content of customary law, despite the observations that Spain has made. However, this remains a crucial question, and one that case law has only addressed in passing. In addition, the draft conclusions offer an opportunity for appropriate systematic integration of this question, without the need for a specific provision.

3

Ľ

(r	
1 · · · ·	
,	
2 	
	·
<u></u>	
∉≠	
<u>[</u>	
1	
• +	
` p	
,	
a. It	
At	
vant 20	

Luculd also like to take this opportunity to offer my heartfelt congratulations to

end, wish that this hader continue to mlare a leave vala in the additiontion and measured