ustralian Mission to the United Nations

E-mail australia@un.int

150 East 42nd Street, New York NY 10017-5612 Ph 212 - 351 6600 Fax 212 - 351 6610 www.australia-unsc.gov.au

As an overarching comment, Australiavites the Commission clarify how the terminology used in the draft conclusions eracts with the draft conclusions on the identification of customary international law.

For example, draft conclusion 5(2e)quiresthat to identify a general principle of law, acomparative analysis $\mu \cdot \tilde{s}$ $Z \acute{A}$ V CE CE $V \check{s}$ This is similar to the requirement in the draft collois on customary $V \cdot \tilde{s} \cdot$

In our view, it would be helpful ifornsistent termswere used across the two sets of draft conclusionshere appropriate Otherwise, where the Commissionritentionally adopts different language, Australia recommends the commentariesclearly explain the different terminology used.

Australia welcomes the outline in the Second Reporthow to identify
that % OE | v | % o Z • v Z š OE v • % } • [š } š Z] v š OE v

Australia recommends the Commission providerther clarification on what constitutes Z (µ v u v š o ‰ Œ] v] ‰ o •)w(ith] whischŒ v š] } v o principle must be compatible order to Z š Œ v • ‰ } • [š } š Z international legal system.

A definition of terms would also enhance the draft conclusion is not using a definition of Z (µv u vš o ‰ Œ]v]‰ o •)a (s w) ex lisas Œ v š] v o o Z và vš] v o]vš Œ v š] v o o Á [X

In relation to general principles formed in the international legal system,
Australia welcomes the clarification in the Second Report on how a general
principlein this categorywould be identified and how its identification
differs from the identification of customary international law.

Given the limited practice on general principles formed in the international legal system, $\mu \bullet \check{s} \times \check{c} = 0$ [• \mathring{A}] • $\mathring{s} \times \check{c} \times \check{s} \times \check{c} \times \check{c}$

Australia invites the Commission to further clarify how general principles of law derived from the international legal system can be distinguished from other sources of international law, such as customary international law or treaties.

In this regard Australiawelcomes the inclusion in the } u u] • •] }ne[xe programme of work the relationship between general principles of lawnd other sources of international law

Australia also supports Z $u u \cdot V$ $v \cdot V$ general principles of lawin particular to clarify $v \cdot V$ $v \cdot V \cdot V$ ascribed to general principles taken, as demonstrated by State practice and decisions of nternational courts and tribunals

Australia commends the progress made by the Special Rapporteur and the

Thank you.