## Statement of the Republic of Estonia 76th Session of the United Nations General Assembly Sixth Committee Report of the International a! Commission Cluster III " No#ember \$%\$&

Mr Chair,

Today I will address two topics: succession of States in respect of State responsibility and general principles of law.

Mr Chair,

On the topic of succession of States in respect of State responsibility, we would like to thank the International Law Co ission !ILC", Special #apporteur Mr. \$a%el &tur a and the 'rafting Co ittee for their work during the p e)plain all aspects, incl

of States on for s of responsibility. (#apporteur\*s work on e)plaining in t assurances and guarantees of non+repe States.

(e would like to e)press our support approached the topics in the ,th report. ( has taken into account the draft ar internationally wrongful acts and in tha ILC\*s work throughout the topics. - urth based on the reflection that the conse. ue reparation in narrow sense !with its thre cessation and non+repetition. / stonia sup - urther ore, we find it i portant, as entioned in paragraph 12 of his report that e%en if the full reparation re ains the general rule of custo ary law the States concerned ay arri%e at an agree ent that pro%ides less than full reparation.

(e also find it essential that a State has the right to decide whether to wai%e its clais of reparation or present the for a certain a ount in certain point in time. 30we%er, in our opinion, the wai%er of the claim does not mean that the

(ith respect to the identification of general principles of law for ed within international legal syste , /stonia takes note of the discussions at the Co ission and is of the opinion that deeper analysis and further discussions would be needed in order to distinguish between the rules of con%entional or custo ary law or jus cogens nor s and the general principles of law, including their parallel e)istence. /stonia is of the %iew that doing so by the Co ission would be an i portant contribution to international law.

/stonia calls for further clarification of the ter s ;principle< and ;rule<, both separately and in relation to each other. This would be useful not only for the topic at hand but also in order to understand the relationship between the two in e erging fields = such as international law applicable to State use of ICTs.

In ter s of ter inology, clarification in the usage of ter s such as ;general international law<, ;general principles of international law< and ;funda ental principles of international law< would also be welco e. The introduction of a section in the draft conclusions for definition of ter s used therein shall probably be addressed in future reports.

(hat concerns the resolutions of the >nited 4 ations\* ?eneral @sse bly and its subsidiary bodies as potential for s of recognition of general principles of law or as subsidiary eans for the deter ination of general principles of law, / stonia is of the %iew that it should not be underesti ated and should also be analysed.

/stonia supports the future progra e of work proposed by the Special #apporteur to address the functions of general principles of law and their relationship with other sources of law in his 1rd report keeping an open ind of the possible need to address also other topics raised through .uestions posed or discussions held in the Co ission and the A<sup>th</sup> Co ittee.

/stonia once again e)tends its appreciation to the Special #apporteur and the Co ission for the work done and concurs with the notion that the co ple)ities of the topic re.uire careful and e)tensi%e treat ent also in the future.

Thank you for the attention.