The scope and application of the principle of universal jurisdiction <u>Agenda item 86</u>

(21 October 2021)

Mr. Chair,

My delegation aligns itself with the statement delivered by the distinguished representative of Iran on behalf of the NAM.

2. We thank the Secretary General for hisreport 75/142.

3. Pakistan fully acknowledges the importance of preventing impunity for the most serious international crimes. The principle of Universal Juris diction is based on a recognition that certain crimes are of such exceptional gravity that their commission shocks the conscience of all humanity. My delegation follows the discussion and development of this matter with great interest and support the continuation of the discussion in the Sixth Committee.

Mr. Chair,

4. While the imperative underlying the principle of universal jurisdiction is to uphold the ideals of accountability and justice by bringing to account perpetrators of certain egregious crimes, fundamental divergences in its nature, scope and application, has continued to cast a shadow over our efforts to evolve a common understanding on the issue.

5. Indeed, the selective use and manipulation of this principle by some states not serve the principles of justice, but on the contrary affects the credibility of international law and the fight against impunity and undermines the objective of achieving global justice.

4. Pakistan is of the view that it is critical to close the legal gap in order to end impunity, protect the rights of vi ctims, and uphold justice. To that end, the scope and application of the principle of universal jurisdiction must be addressed cautiously and in an objective manner that takes into account the customary international law and opinio juris. To this end, my delegation **§** views on this issue continue to be guided by the following principles:

witness the trial. In this regard, it is only in cases where the territorial State is either Unwilling and/or unable 'to prosecute that another State can proceed with prosecution. This approach is in line with the international principle of complementarity which has been duly recognized by various international courts and tribu nals.

- Second, the principle of universal jurisdiction should only be applied in respect of grave crimes such as war crimes, crimes against humanity and genocide which affect the international community as a whole and which the international community has generally agreed are crimes for which the application of the principle of universal jurisdiction would be appropriate. Against this backdrop, calls for accountability would invariably smack of double standards and selectivity, especially when egregious crimes are being committed in full view of the in ternational community. What is therefore, needed, is not a dereliction from our collective responsibility to prevent these grave crimes, but to apply consistent moral and legal standards
- Third , treaty obligations to extradite or prosecute should not be conceptualized as or used to infer the Treaty based jurisdiction is conceptually and legally distinct from universal jurisdiction . Detailed analysis of state practice and opinio juris is needed to identify the exis