Mr. Chair,

I have the honour to deliver this statement on behalf of the African Group.

The African Group associates itself with the statement delivered on behalf of the Non -Aligned Movement .

We thank the Secretary -General for WKLV \HDU.V list about the Row W RQ W item.

I have the honour to reiterate the great importance the African Group, composed of 54 Member States of the United Nations, attaches to this agenda Item entitled '7 KH 6 FRSH DQG \$SSOLF RI WKH 3 ULQFLSOH RI 8 QL X sHydou/ mbage recXally the GLFWLR abuse in the resort to universal jurisdiction, particularly in relations to African officials, caused the African Group to request in February 2009 the inclusion of an additional item on the abuse of the principle of universal jurisdic tion on the agenda of the 63rd session As a result of the aforementioned request, this item was included in the agenda of the sixty -fourth session of the General Assembly in 2009, at the request of the United Republic of Tanzania on behalf of the African Group and was allocated to the Sixth Committee. At the 25th meeting, on 12 November 2009, the representative of Rwanda, on behalf of the Bureau, introduced a draft resolution HQWLWOHG ´7KH VFRSH DQG In SigseOot En IversalRQ RI V MXULVGLFWLRQµ \$ & / 8QLYHUVDO MXULVG subject of challenging discussions in the Sixth Committee.

Mr. Chair,

Debates on this topic have been long and intense, however no significant steps have been UHDFKHG LQ DGGUHVVLQJ WKH $DEXVH\mu$ RI WKH XQLYHUVDOLW\ SULQFLSOH ZKLI all Member States of the United Nations, including the African Member States. The real concerns which led to the inscription of the item on the Age nda of our Committee still remain to be resolved, hence the scope of universal jurisdiction remains uncertain.

We welcome the adoption of General Assembly resolution LQ SDUWLFXODU RSHUDWLY Havites DtheDJUDSK working group of the Si xth Committee, to be established at its seventy -seventh session, to consider and comment on the question Ψ hat should be the role and purpose of universal jurisdiction $\cdot \mu$: H look forward to a further constructive debate on the question, as part of the proc ess for the Sixth Committee to make progress on the topic.

The African Group reiter ates its FRQFHUQ ´UHJDUGLQJ WKH DS of the principle of universal jurisdiction does not pertain to what is being done collectively through multilateral processes or the global community but rather to the indictments by individual judges in non -\$IULFDQ 6WDWHV ZKLFK IRFXV > « RQ VLWWLQJ Government, Foreign Ministers and Other Senior Officials] who are Africa through the Member States and the African Union Commission have engaged constructively and has been cooperative, including Furthermore, universal jurisdiction shall be complementary to national jurisdiction of the country concerned, and shall not be applied in a manner inconsistent with the principles of international law or customary international law, including sovereignty, nonintervention in the internal affairs of states, sovereign immunity and diplomatic immunity.

In conclusion, U niversal jurisdiction should be exercised in good faith and with due regard to other principles of international law , in order to avoid its misuse and abuse. In this context, agreed norms must be established regarding the scope and application of Universal Jurisdiction.

I thank you for your kind attention.