



STATEMENT
By the Delegation of Viet Nam
at the Sixth Committee of the 79th

Regarding the definition of international organization under Guideline 2, this delegation encourages the Special Rapporteur to elaborate further on the condition that at least one organ capable of expressing a will distinct from that of its members' Executive Board to represent the international organizations in the legal sense.

Inspired by the Article 33 of the United Nations Charter, the Guideline 2(c) lists the available means of dispute settlement in international and national law. We concur with this provision because it ensures the flexibility and adherence to the peaceful settlement of disputes embedded in the United Nations Charter. Additionally, we reaffirm that parties to the disputes have freedom to choose appropriate means of dispute settlement.

We also endorse the initiative to broaden the scope of the dispute settlement regime to encompass disputes between international organizations, as well as disputes in which they are parties, including those pertaining to public and private nature. Thus, the current wording of the Guideline 3 is acceptable to us.

As to Guideline 4, we would like to draw your attention to the means of dispute settlement that must be in line with the constitutive instrument of concerned international organizations.

Finally, Guideline 6 should be further examined in terms of its necessity and clarity. We believe that the qualifications of the adjudicators in the dispute concerning international organizations will be controlled by the relevant rules and procedures of dispute settlement mechanisms, such as Permanent Court of Arbitration or International Chamber of Commerce.

I thank you.