



include the subsequent interpretation of such judgment; the literal meaning of the notion “interpret” is “to explain the meaning of something” and the word “interpretation” is “the particular way in which something is understood or explained”.


8. As such UNDT Judgment No. 2009/58 was merely an explanation of its judgment Tadonki 1. It is not a fresh decision or judgment within the meaning of article 2 (1) of UNAT’s Statute. We therefore uphold Tadonki’s objection that the appeal is not receivable.

9. We do not expect that the UNDT in the interpretation of its own orders would reverse or review such orders. The exercise of interpretation under article 30 of the UNDT Rules of Procedure is not an avenue for review or the basis for a fresh judgment. Any dissatisfaction with the interpretation by the UNDT may be raised in an appeal against the substantive judgment.

10. We finally note that the UNDT Registry classified the interpretation given on that day as “Judgment No. 2009/58”. This is clearly a misnomer.

Judgment

11. The appeal is dismissed.



Judge Adinyira, Presiding



Judge Courtial




Judge Painter

Dated this 30th day of March 2010 in Geneva, Switzerland.

Original: English

Entered in the Register on this 26th day of April 2010 in New York, United States.



Weicheng Lin, Registrar, UNAT