

Case No. 2011-195

Counsel for Appellant: Not represented

Counsel for Respondent: John Stompor

JUDGE MARK P. PAINTER, Presiding.

## **Synopsis**

1. Mr. Fabian Allen contested a lateral transfer. He was transferred from Officer-in-Charge (OiC), Human Resources Management Section (HRMS), United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), to that of Chief, General Services and Travel Unit (GSU), UNCTAD. He alleged that the transfer decision violated various rules, and contravened a prior judgment of the United Nations Dispute Tribunal (UNDT or Dispute Tribunal). Because Mr. Allen had not asked for the implementation of the prior judgment, and only contested the in stant transfer, the UNDT found that the previous judgment was not before it. The UNDT also found that the contested decision did not violate any applicable rules. We affirm the UNDT Judgment.

#### **Facts and Procedure**

2. Mr. Allen entered the service of the Organization in 1989, as a Clerk in New York. He served from May 1992 to December 1995in the Procurement Division. He was then redeployed to the Staff Development Service until December 2001. After serving in

## THE UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL

Judgment No. 2011-UNAT-187

- 5. On 21 November 2008, Mr. Allen contested the reassignment decision. On 22 January 2010, the UNDT issued Judgment No. UNDT/2010/009. The UNDT found that there was a breach of ST/SGB/172 and ST/SGB/274, which prescribe mandatory consultations with the relevant staff representatives before implementing decisions affecting an important number of staff in a unit, as well as "a lack of good faith in the Administration's dealings with [Mr. Allen]". The UNDT or dered, inter alia, the rescission of the 19 September 2008 decision to reassign Mr. Allen.
- 6. On 11 March 2010, the Secretary-General submitted a request for interpretation under Article 30 of the UNDT Rules of Procedure. By Order No. 42 (GVA/2010) dated 9 April 2010, the UNDT rejected the request for interpretation. The UNDT emphasized that the contested passage clearly meant that Mr. Allen was to be restored to the position he occupied on 19 September 2008. It specified that this finding was "obviously without

## THE UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL

Judgment No. 2011-UNAT-187

- 9. In reply, Mr. Allen alleged that the Admi nistration's course of action was "an attempt ... to disregard or nominally implement UNDT's judgement" and requested that the Deputy Secretary-General, UNCTAD, clarify the final decision.
- 10. By memorandum dated 14 April 2010, the Deputy Secretary-General, UNCTAD, informed Mr. Allen that "[f]ollo wing [their] consultations on 13 April 2010, [he was] assigned to the position of the Chief, General Services and Travel Unit effective 15 April 2010".
- 11. In May 2010, Mr. Allen filed a request for management evaluation of the 14 April 2010 decision. By letter dated 15 July 2010, the Management Evaluation Unit informed Mr. Allen of its finding that "the contested administrative decision did not violate [his] contract of employment or terms of appointment and should be upheld".
- 12. In August 2010, Mr. Allen filed an application before the UNDT. On 8 December 2010, the UNDT rendered Judg

- 14. The UNDT found that the reassignment decision did not breach any applicable rule or principle and rejected Mr. Allen's applicatio n. It found that, contrary to Mr. Allen's assertion, Section 2.4 of ST/Al/2006/3/Rev.1, which provides for the power of the heads of departments to transfer staff members within their departments or offices to vacant posts at the same level, "does not preclude other kinds of transfer to be lawfully made". It further found that the impugned decision did not violate ST/SGB/172 and ST/SGB/274, since it did not affect "groups of staff" or "at least a significant number of staff in a particular unit or service", but Mr. Allen al one. The UNDT also rejected Mr. Allen's argument that the Organization failed to act in good faith in its dealings with him.
- 15. Mr. Allen appeals the UNDT Judgment.

#### Submissions

Mr. Allen's Appeal

- 16. Mr. Allen submits that the UNDT erred in finding that Judgment No. UNDT/2010/009 had been properly implemented, and that the conditions for an order for execution of the Judgment were no longer met.
- 17. Mr. Allen submits that the UNDT erred in finding that the contested reassignment decision dated 14 April 2010 did not breach any applicable rule or principle. He mainly reiterates the contentions already made before the UNDT.
- 18. Mr. Allen requests that Judgment No. UNDT/2010/212 be rescinded.

Secretary-General's Answer

19. The Secretary-General submits that the UNDT correctly foun-ti dh

#### **Considerations**

- 21. The UNDT was correct that Mr. Allen did not ask for the implementation of Judgment No. UNDT/2010/009, and it was not under review in this case.
- 22. Mr. Allen's reassignment decision did not breach any applicable rule. "Staff members are subject to the authority of the Secretary-General and to assignment by him or her to any of the activities or offices of the United Nations." <sup>2</sup>
- 23. The UNDT correctly held that the contested transfer decision did not contravene Section 2.4 of ST/AI/2006/3/Rev.1. This prov ision merely applies to lateral transfers to vacant posts, but does not preclude other kinds of transfers to be lawfully made.
- 24. The UNDT further correctly held that the contested transfer decision did not violate ST/SGB/172 and ST/SGB/274, which apply only to "groups of staff" or "at least a significant number of staff in a particular un it or service". They were therefore not applicable to the contested transfer, which only concerned Mr. Allen. We also find no error in the UNDT's decision to reject Mr. A llen's argument that the Organization failed to act in good faith in its dealings with him.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Staff Regulation 1.2(c).

# Judgment

	25.	The UNDT	Judgment is	affirmed.
--	-----	----------	-------------	-----------

Original and authoritative version: English

Dated this 21st day of October 2011 inNew York, United States.

(Signed) (Signed)

Judge Painter, Presiding Judge Adinyira Judge Simón

Entered in the Register on this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of December 2011 in New York, United States.

(Signed)

Weicheng Lin, Registrar