







appeal any errors made by the UNDT and thus, fails to meet his burden of demonstrating appealable errors in the impugned judgment.

### **Considerations**

Oral hearing before the Appeals Tribunal

8. Mr. Barri requests an oral hearing, which he believes will be of assistance to the Appeals Tribunal. Oral hearings are governed by Article 8(3) of the Appeals Tribunal Statute (Statute) and Article 18(1) of the Appeals Tribunal Rules of Procedure (Rules). Under Article 18(1) of the Rules, a request for an oral hearing may be granted when it would “assist in the expeditious and fair disposal of the case”. As the Appeals Tribunal does not find that an oral hearing would assist it any further in resolving the issues on appeal, the request for an oral hearing is denied.

Merits

9. The issue before this Tribunal is whether the UNDT correctly concluded that Mr. Barri’s application was non-receivable *ratione materiae*, as he had not submitted a timely request for management evaluation of the contested administrative decision before filing his application with the Tribunal.

THE

**Judgment**

14. The appeal is dismissed and Judgment No. UNDT/2019/147 is hereby affirmed.

Original and Authoritative Version: English

Dated this 27<sup>th</sup> day of March 2020.

(Signed)

Judge Raikos, Presiding  
Athens, Greece

(Signed)

Judge Halfeld  
Bournemouth, United Kingdom

(Signed)

Judge Murphy  
Cape Town, South Africa

Entered in the Register on this 19<sup>th</sup> day of June 2020 in New York, United States.

(Signed)

Weicheng Lin, Registrar