



UNITED

Case No. 2017-1052

Ntuk

(Applicant)

v.

United Nations Joint Staff Pension Board

(Respondent)

together with his appeal against the Impugned Decision before the Appeals Tribunal. In support of his motion, he *inter alia* contends that it was not his fault “not to have filed this action on time”, that he “did not sleep over his right but has continually taken steps to ensure the enforcement of his right” and that “it will be in the best interest of justice and fairness for the time to be extended to enable [him to] file his case and be heard on the merits”.

¹ *Ntuk v. Secretary-General of the United Nations*, Judgment No. UNDT/2016/215, para. 14.

4. Under Article 7(3) of the Appeals Tribunal Statute, “[t]he Appeals Tribunal may