RIBNAL TRIBNAL D 'A PPEL DES NATIONS UNIES



T

misrepresentations, and Mr. SL subsequently concocted false allegations against him in retaliation. Mr. Karkara also states that Mr. SL's continued disregard of the notices of cease and desist goes directly to his credibility, and that the documents that he seeks to be adduced as evidence show that Mr. SL is not an honest person and he is capable of lying under oath.

- 4. On 28 June 2021, the Secretary-General filed his comments requesting that the Appeals Tribunal dismiss the motion. In his view, Mr. Karkara has failed to show exceptional circumstances warranting the introduction of the additional evidence. Moreover, he has failed to explain how the additional evidence that Mr. Karkara has submitted to impugn Mr. SL's credibility and honesty would be in the interest of justice and the efficient and expeditious resolution of the proceedings.
- 5. Pursuant to Article 2(5) of the Statute of the Appeals Tribunal and Article 10(1) of its Rules of Procedure (Rules), the Appeals Tribunal may receive additional evidence from a party "[i]n exceptional circumstances" "if that is in the interest of justice and the efficient and expeditious resolution of the

circumstances that would warrant the introduction of said letters on appeal. Additionally, he fails to provide any cogent explanation as to how these letters, which do not contain information relating to Mr. Karkara's case but refer to "Notices of Cease and Desist" by the United Nations to the Permanent Mission of Canada to the United Nations and the Organization situated in Canada and represented by Mr. SL, would be relevant to his appeal. His claim that he had confronted Mr. SL about the misuse of the United Nations name and emblem and the misrepresentations, and Mr. SL subsequently concocted false allegations against him in retaliation is not enough. In any event, the truthfulness, reliability and credibility of said evidentiary material as a whole will be the subject of judicial review on appeal by the Appeals Tribunal, depending on the grounds of appeal leveled by Mr. Karkara.

8. Moreover, in so far as Mr. Karkara may want to rely on these letters to argue against the credibility of Mr. SL's testimony as a witness, he ought to have pleaded it b207 Odc7h16 Td[(bc 0 Tw828 -1.728 Td[(1 TXit(cle)TJ8).(i))] -9.006 fix Rubes Tproverbed for Td[(t)-8.8 (he)-12.1 an appellant to file an appeal form and an accompanying appeals brief, and for a respond7nt to submit an answer form and an accompanying answer brief. Therefore, Mr. Karkara has not presented evidence of exceptional circumstances upon which the Appeals Tribunal can grant his r7quest in accordance with the provisions of Article 2(5) of the Statute.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Mr.Karkara's motion is DENIE