

Case No.2021-1628

Specke r  
(Appellant)

v.

Secretary -

Tribunal , given that the Appellant’s concerns related to the UNDT Judgment. Moreover, with regard to the manner in which the UNDT Judgment has been displayed in Google searches, the Secretary-General notes that the maintenance of the Dispute Tribunal’s case law database or the operation of a third-party search engine are not matters on which he may assist

5. Notwithstanding the lack of clarity in the Motion about what action the Appellant envisions from the Appeals Tribunal, the Secretary-General submits that the Appellant largely repeats the arguments presented before the Dispute Tribunal and the Appeals Tribunal regarding the merits of her case, which are repetitive and do not justify confidential treatment of her case

6. Article 10(9) of the Appeals Tribunal Statute (Statute) provides: “The judgements of the Appeals Tribunal shall be published, while protecting personal data, and made generally available by the Registry of the Tribunal.” Further, Article 20(2) of the Appeals Tribunal Rules of Procedure (Rules) states: “The published judgements will normally include the names of the parties.”

7. This Motion is denied. First, the Appeals Tribunal has no authority to order the Dispute Tribunal to “remove the public link” which shows the UNDT Judgment in the Google search results. It has no general authority over how search results are displayed online.

8. Second, the Motion requests that the Dispute Tribunal amend its Judgment in this matter, which is a request to be made to the Dispute Tribunal, not the Appeals Tribunal. As for the Appeals Tribunal’s Judgment in this matter, although not part of this Motion, any request for anonymization of Judgment No. 2022-UNAT-1298 should have been made as part of the appeal.

9.

contained in it. Therefore, the Appellant's Motion has not proven any "greater need than any other litigant for confidentiality".<sup>2</sup>

10. As stated in a similar Order, "[s]taff members of the Organization often challenge employment-related decisions before the internal justice system. If confidentiality is attached in each case, there would be no transparency regarding the operations of the Organization, which would be contrary to one of the General Assembly's purposes and goals for the internal justice system".<sup>3</sup>

11. For these reasons, the Motion is denied.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the motion for confidentiality is DENIED .

Original and Authoritative Version: English

Decision dated this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of October 2023 in  
Vancouver, Canada

*(Signed)*  
Judge Kanwaldeep Sandhu,  
President

Order published and entered in the Register on this  
2<sup>nd</sup> day of October 2023 in New York, United States.

*(Signed)*  
Juliet E. Johnson,  
Registrar

Kanwal Isb 449.64i4 252.9 /TT3 5n BTe8R102. (2ID 37 >.)0]TJ 0.002 56 255.96 Tm 1 [(P)4.3 (re)7.7 (17e.96