

OF THE UNITED NATIONS

	Translated from French	Case No. UNDT/GVA/2010/007 (UNAT 1524) Judgment No_INDT/2010/077		
ſ				
·* •	Introduction			

Y 4	. 1	4.	
Intro	ծող	спо	n
	· · · · ·		<u> </u>

_

	Introduction
v [v	
-	
L —	
}	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
, L	
. -	
χ.	subsequent to a report by the Vienna Joint Appeals Board (JAB), rejected his
<u> </u>	

8. On 28 January 2005, the applicant submitted to the Secretary-General a request for administrative review of the decision of 1 November 2004 restricting his right of access to VIC.

9. On 24 April 2005, the applicant filed an appeal with the Vienna JAB.

10. On 16 March 2006, the Secretary of the Vienna JAB informed the applicant of the composition of the panel constituted to consider his appeal.

11. On 23 March 2006, the applicant requested that his appeal be heard by the New York JAB.

12. By undated letter the Presiding Officer of the New York JAB informed the applicant that the New York JAB was not competent to advise the Secretary-General on his appeal.

13. On 27 June 2006, in its report to the Secretary-General, the Vienna JAB informed him that it was not competent *ratione loci* to consider the appeal.

14. On 22 November 2006, the Acting Under-Secretary-General, Department of Management, informed the applicant that the Secretary-General had, in a final decision, rejected his appeal on the grounds that, at the time of the decision in question, he was not a staff member and that the appeal did not relate to the non-observance of his terms of appointment as a staff member but to his relationship as a retiree with VIC.

Case No. UNDT/GVA/2010/007 (UNAT 1524) Judgment No. UNDT/2010/077

Parties' contentions

- 18. The applicant's contentions are:
 - a. The contested decision constitutes an abuse of power because no precise reason was given for the denial of access and it contravenes

physic and the second of and another to 101.0 (a).

<u>،</u>	
'n	
j,	
١	

μ.Π			
<u></u>			
	·		
· •			
			f
		and counsel for staff members, the decision was taken solely for	
		the purpose of harassment;	

,	· · ·		. •				• •
			•				
	Translated from French				•	Case No. UNDT	
	· Iransiateu ji om Frenen				њ ,	(UNA	T 1524)
	•. •		х, н.	1. T		Judgment No. U	NDT/2010/077
•				1	•		•
	• •	to paragraph	າ4_∩f :	administrativ	e≟instructi	on ST/AI/351	The
,							
<u>·</u>				· · ·			
	• .	Q		<u> </u>	- staff in a	askous to service	41
<u>I</u>	۱ <u> </u>						
-		1					
¹ 11							
`₩							
ſ							
-							
		Dal		utus stu	•	· . ·	
•		Panel constit	utes a co	ntract;			•••
	L.,	, <u> </u>	L c			مانه به الم	· - '
1a -							
. 4							

t____

Translated from French

Case No. UNDT/GVA/2010/007 (UNAT 1524) Judgment No. UNDT/2010/077

f. The memorandum of 29 April 2004 from the Presiding Officer of the Vienna JAB gives the reasons for the restriction of the <u>applicant's access</u>.

Judgment

7

of the contested decision, a member of the Vienna Panel of Counsel, contests the decision by which the Secretary-General, subsequent to a report by the Vienna Joint Appeals Board (JAB), rejected his appeal against the Translated from French

,

Case No. UNDT/GVA/2010/007 (UNAT 1524) Judgment No. UNDT/2010/077

•	pliceing sen charmones of controcts of smallerment of staff members of the	
¥ '		
 ■■ •		
₩ 4 11		
<u> </u>		
-		
• -		
* * *		· .
	Secretariat of the United Nations or of the terms of appointment of such staff	
• •	members. The words 'contracts' and 'terms of appointment' include all	
	permanent regulations and rules in force at the time of alleged non-	
	observance, including the staff pension regulations".	
	75 The Segretery Consel was therefore right in finding that the	
ī • •		
1		
·		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	مر مستقد ماند مرابع م	
· .		

Decision

29. In view of the foregoing, the Tribunal DECIDES:

The application is rejected.

(signed)

(signed)

ł

Judge Jean-François Cousin

Judgment No. UNDT/2010/077

Dated this 30th day of April 2010

Entered in the Register on this 30th day of April 2010