Case No.: UNDT/NY/2011/005

Judgment No.UNDT/2011/037

Date: 25 February 2011

- 1. On 13 January 2011 the Applicant, affstraember of the International Civil Service Commission, submitted an application for suspension of action of the decision not to continue hepecial post allowance ("SPAf) r the month of January 2011 and thereafter. The Applicant asserted this decision would go into effect on 17 January 2011 as she had been informed by Accounts Division in the Office of Programme Planning, Budget and Account the fUnited Nations Secretariat that her salary would be paid without the SPA easts a personnel action form extending the SPA was processed on or before 17 January 2011.
- 2. The Respondent filed his reply on January 2011. On 17 January 2011 the Dispute Tribunal held a hearing on the lappation for suspension of action. On the same day the Tribunal issued Order No. 13 (NY/2011), by which it granted the requested suspension of action the contested decisiochuring the pendency of the management evaluation, directing the president to "ensure that appropriate and immediate administrative arrangements [were] made to implement [Order No. 13 (NY/2011)]". On 1 February 2011 the Tribunal issued Order No. 29 (NY/2011), setting out the reasons for its decision to grant the suspension of action. In accordance with the practice of the Tribunal, Cabbe. UNDT/NY/2011/005 thereafter remained open in anticipation of a possible subsequent application that could have been filed by the Applicant under art. 2.1 of the total country the property of the Dispute Tribunal.
- 3. The Applicant had a previous matterith the Tribunal concerning the Administration's decision noto reclassify the P-2 post encumbered by her to the P-3 level (Case No. UNDT/NY/2009/098). Thatatter was disposed of by Judgment No. UNDT/2010/165, rendered on 17

Case No. UNDT/NY/2010/103 (concerningethonversion of her appointment) and Case No. UNDT/NY/2010/05 (concerning her SPA).

- 4. It appears that, at some point in Fuedory 2011, the parties went to mediation, although no notice to this effect was provide the Tribunal. In this regard, both parties are reminded that, pursuant to 125t3 of the Rules of Procedure, they were required to promptly inform the Registry 15the Dispute Tribunal of their decision to seek mediation. Failure to do so resulted invaste of judicial resources and time.
- 5. On 14 February 2011 the Tribunal received an email from the Director of Mediation Services, Office of the United Nations Ombudsman and Mediation Services, informing the Tribunal that anytestanding matters were resolved to the satisfaction of both parties. The einstated (emphasis in original):

I am writing to advise that with thassistance of the UN Office of the Ombudsman and Mediation Services, e[tApplicant] has participated in informal dispute resolution preedings which have resulted in a successful resolution of any and all applications that [the Applicant] has or may have pending with the UN Dispute Tribunal.

Although our office is not aware of the precise status of matters that [the Applicant] may have pending the UN Dispute Tribunal, I have attached a copy of [thepplicant]'s signed letter in which she states in pertinent part, "I request that y and all applications that I have filed with the UN Dispute Tribunal be withdrawn".

- 6. Attached to the Director's email was a letter signed by the Applicant and dated 10 February 2011, requesting that "any and all applications that [she has] filed with the UN Dispute Tribunal be withdrawn".
- 7. The Applicant's letter of 10 Februa 2011, however, did not identify the case numbers of the cases shought to withdraw. Accordigly, on 14 February 2011, pursuant to my directions, the New York of Restry of the Dispute Tribunal requested the Applicant to file and serve separategnesid notices of with darwal in relation to each case she intended to withdraw, clearly identifying the case number.

CaseNo. UNDT/NY/2011/005 JudgmenNo. UNDT/2011/037

8. On 24 February 2011 the Applicant filed a notice of withdrawaelation to Case No. UNDT/NY/201005. The notice stated:

As a result of mediation proceedings conducted by the UN Office of the Ombudsman and Mediation Sees, the International Civil Service Commission and I have reach resolution of all issues. Accordingly, I request that case UNDT/NY/2011/005 (Jaen) be withdrawn.

Conclusion

9. In the circumstances, there is no longer any matter for adjudication by the Dispute Tribunal and Case No. UNDNY/2011/005 is therefore closed.

(Signed)

Judge Ebrahim-Carstens

Dated this 25 day of February 2011

Entered in the Register on this to 25 ay of February 2011

(Signed)

Santiago Villalpando, Restirar, UNDT, New York