Case No.:
 UNDT/NY/2010/058

 Judgment No.:
 UNDT/2012/172

 Date:
 7 November 2012

Introduction

1. On 8 May 2012, a substantive hearing was held at the Dispute Tribunal in New York. At the end of the hearing, llfoowing guidance from the Tribunal, Counsel indicated that, instead optresenting oral closing subsocies, they preferred the proceedings to be suspended to allow them time to consider the evidence and the issues raised by the Judge.

2. Accordingly, by Order No. 96 (NY2012) dated 9 May 2012, the Tribunal ordered that all further proceedings be suspended for seven days after which Counsel were to file and serve their closingitteen submissions by 5:00 p.m. on 18 May 2012.

3. By motion dated 16 May 2012, Countersfor the Respondent informed the Tribunal that efforts were being material efforts to contribute their discussions. He requested that the proceedings be estudged for an additional three weeks until 8 June 2012 because of "the complexity of threatter as well as to the unavailability of some key [United Nations Development Bramme] Senior Officiers with decision-making authority, who are currently on station". By Order No. 103 (NY/2012) dated 16 May 2012, the Tribunal granted leave the extension of time as requested.

4. By motion dated 8 June 2012, the parties rimed the Tribunal that they were nearing an amicable resolution of the cased requested a further extension of time for a period of two weeks, until Frida 2 June 2012, in order to finalise the settlement agreement.

5. By Order No. 120 (NY/2012) dated 18 June 2012, the Tribunal granted the requested time extension.

6. By joint submission dated 22 June 2012, the parties informed the Tribunal that they had reached an amicable settleronfethall outstanding claims" related to the present case and submitted for the constituter apf the Tribunal the following form of words to be incorporated in the final dynamic disposing of ethcase, "The parties

informed the Tribunal that they haveadened an agreement and that there are no outstanding claims arising from the presense. The Applicant advised the Tribunal of his decision to withdraw his application".

Considerations

7. The Tribunal commends the parties for their efforts in finding an amicable resolution and for reaching finality in this matter.

8.