nal se ere a not n t at t onst tute an o   a o p a nt on arass ent n t e or p a e an a use o aut or t$_y$

n   ove er   t e App ant requeste   ana e ent eva uat on o t e non rene a   e s on   n u n a request or suspens on o a t on $_y$ t e e retar$_y$ Genera pen n   ana e ent eva uat on

n   De e er   t e App ant re e ve a response to er request or ana e ent eva uat on up o   n t e onteste   e s on

n   ar   t e App ant e t e present app at on It as serve on t e espon ent o e s rep$_y$ on Apr   t so e anne es e **ex parte**

As o June   anot er sta   e   er as re ru te at t e   on a t ree ont te porar$_y$ ontra t

In response to   erent or ers o t e r una t e part es su   tte a t ona n or at on an a t e opportun t$_y$ to o ent

   B$_y$ r er o   G A   t e part es ere onvo e to a su stant ve ear n t at too p a e on   De e er

Parties' submissions

e App ant s pr n pa ontent ons are

a   A t ou   not spe e out $_y$ t e A n strat on t e r u stan es a e e $_y$ t an on$_y$ ean t at t e ust at on or ts a t ons   as post a o t on

e a t t at sta ru e uses t e p rase post a o s ent an re u t on o sta nter an ea $_y$ at su para rap s   an e s o s t at at ou t e ta u es o not e ne post a o s ent t et o ter s are s$_y$non$_y$ ous n ust$_y$ n t e e s on not to rene t e App ant s F A on t e as s o re u t on o sta t e A n strat on a ess a o t on o post

a e o

n er t e r u stan es o t e present ase   are s   ar to

t ose o

a e o

The Respondent's principal contentions are:

a. The contested decision was to be equated as a non renewal of the Applicant's FTA rather than as a decision not to allow its extent to the post she then held where;

Pursuant to staff rule ... a fixed term appointment does not carry any expectancy, legal or otherwise, of renewal or conversion irrespective of the length of service; and, according to staff rule ..., temporary or fixed term appointments are expired automatically and without prior notice on the expiration date specified in the letter of appointment;

The contested decision was a unilateral action on the ground stated that for organizational purposes there was a reason in overall and could continue to a substantially in ... as such as supported by the facts and was not discriminatory or otherwise based on improper motives;

As the relevant binding rules of GA ... that a non renewal of fixed term appointments to a fixed retribution for exercising any right under the Secretary General enjoys in matters relating to organization contrary to that set by the Applicant the staff member in binding equally ensure were regular and subject post and the relevant binding nevertheless found that the Administration could stage case its decision not to extend binding FTA contest in want to reduce in the workload of the unit and that in into the reorganisation an important and current reduce in the workload of the relevant ... the justification for the decision as supported by the facts necessary and in the case of binding the Applicant had been noted for non renewal because she had passed the Administrative Support Assessment test only in discussion and hence was not eligible for redeployment outside of ...

Moreover the Applicant did not apply to Job opening ... G I EX ... resulted in the promotion of a temporary staff member to the G ... level

e App ant s post as not a o s e as su t e onsu tat on prov e or n GB as not app a e to t e e s on un er rev e n ee t resu ts ro t e e a e n t ons onta ne n t e re evant ta ues t at t e e p rat on o t e App ant s F A an t e orrespon n e s on not to rene er F A ou not e qua e as t e a o t on o t e posts e en u ere

nt e as s o t e u e nes onta ne n se o GB an s n e t e App ant s ase on erne an n v ua F A non rene a ase

a e o

... staff rule ... provides that a ... fixed-term appointment does not carry any expectancy, legal or otherwise, of renewal or conversion, irrespective of the length of service except as provided under staff rule ...

... The Appeals Tribunal has ruled that a non-renewal decision can be challenged if the Administration does not act arbitrarily, unfairly or transparently or if the decision is motivated by prejudice or improper motive against a staff member. In such cases the burden of proving that such a factor played a role rests on the Administration, whereas the burden is on the Applicant ...

In t e r una s ve t ese ons erat ons e ent one n t e e oran u o to er o not a o a ara ter at on o t e non rene a e s on on a te t e App ant n v ua as one t at as su e t to sta onsu tat on

Con ern n t e App ant s a e at on t at t e e s on as ot vate e traneous a tors na e personal an os t o t e C e a a nst er an t at t onst tute a or o reta at on t e or er t e r una re a s t at t e ur en o proo t respe t to su e traneous ons erat ons a s on t e App ant e r una rst notes t at e t e e s on a ver e ave een n t ate t e C e t e onteste e s on as not ta en er ut t e Deput C e DC t t e a ree ent o t e C e on t e as s o ata ava a e at t e t e Furt er t as reasona e to on u e n to er t at t e pro e te or o a ou e re ase ere ore t e r una n s t at t e re or oes not ea to on u e t at t e e s on as

a e o

Entere   n t e   e ster on t s ͢ ͑ a o January ͢
                              y   y

Signed

  en   ar as   e strar Geneva

                                a e   o