
Before:

1). =0 memo&andum dated 16 3 a0 2015\$ the >"&"ng 3 anage& &e#ommended
fou& #and"dates\$ "n#!ud"ng the A !"#ant\$ to the Cent&a! %e/"e : Comm"tee. The

1. In the present case, the Applicant has been translating and self-organizing his work in accordance with the standards and procedures to tasks at the P1 level without granting him a return and

f. The Applicant claims that the Authority's decision on the request for access to a legitimate copy of the information is unfounded. The request is based on the fact that the information is of a confidential nature and is not in the public domain. The Authority's decision is based on the fact that the information is of a confidential nature and is not in the public domain.

g. The Authority adds no evidence of the alleged geographical area.

h. The Authority's claims of confidentiality of the information are not supported by the Panel's assessment. The Panel's assessment led to the Authority's request being recommended and he failed to meet the burden of proof on the fact of confidentiality of the information. The Administrative Tribunal addressed an actual conflict of interest of the information; the Chief of the Tribunal used himself as a confidential source and an ex officio member of the Tribunal, as presented during the Authority's proceedings.

Bias by the initial Hiring Manager and the Applicant's inclusion in the roster

21. The Authority asserts that the initial hiring manager's decision to include the Chief of the Tribunal in the list of candidates was based on the fact that the Chief of the Tribunal had a conflict of interest that ended the initial hiring process. The Tribunal's decision is based on the fact that the Chief of the Tribunal used himself and that a different staff member from UN4G was

22. The Panel notes that the status of the applicant as a member of the organization does not depend on the date of his admission to the organization or the date of his resignation.

21. The Panel notes that the applicant's admission to the organization and his resignation are both effective from the date of his admission to the organization and his resignation. The Panel notes that the applicant's admission to the organization and his resignation are both effective from the date of his admission to the organization and his resignation.

Administration of the written test

25. As noted in the applicant's appeal, the applicant's admission to the organization and his resignation are both effective from the date of his admission to the organization and his resignation. The Panel notes that the applicant's admission to the organization and his resignation are both effective from the date of his admission to the organization and his resignation.

26. The Panel notes that the applicant's admission to the organization and his resignation are both effective from the date of his admission to the organization and his resignation. The Panel notes that the applicant's admission to the organization and his resignation are both effective from the date of his admission to the organization and his resignation.

2A. The Panel also notes that the applicant's admission to the organization and his resignation are both effective from the date of his admission to the organization and his resignation. The Panel notes that the applicant's admission to the organization and his resignation are both effective from the date of his admission to the organization and his resignation.

#ho"#e. ;n an0 #ase\$ the T&"5una! notes that the A !"#ant assed the test and : ent to the ne@t &ound of assessment\$ ".e.\$ the #om eten#0(5ased "nte&/"e : .

26. 2ast!0\$ the T&"5una! #annot ente&ta"n the A !"#antDs #!a"m that he : as su5Be#ted to a##&ued st&ess and an@"et0 and &e/ented f&om ade7uate!0 &e a&"ng fo& the test as he had to : a"t fo& 10 da0s unt"! the Adm"n"st&at"on #ommun"#ated to h"m that he : as a!!o : ed to hand : &"te h"s ans : e&s. ;t shou!d 5e &e#a!!ed that a&t of th"s e&"od e!a sed : h"!e the A !"#ant and the Adm"n"st&at"on e@#hanged on the "ssue. ;t "s on!0 no&ma! that the de#"s"on to de/"ate f&om the moda!"t"es that had 5een esta5!"shed and announ#ed to a!! #and"dates ta?es a #e&ta"n t"me. Add"t"ona!!0\$ the Adm"n"st&at"on g&anted a one(da0 e@tens"on &e#"se!0 to m"t"gate the "n#on/en"en#es de&"/ed f&om the fa#t that the a5o/e(ment"oned #ommun"#at"on : as sent the da0 5efo&e the dead!"ne "n"t"a!!0 set to ta?e the test.

elements of the cost and recommended him along with the other
and dates.

2. The fact of the Tribunal does not demonstrate that an
order was issued on the basis of the fact that some of his members
are not and from being considered.

6. In light of all of the above, the Tribunal is of the view that the Administration has not demonstrated that the Applicant is a full-time and full-time employee. It considers that the Applicant did not demonstrate sufficient evidence to demonstrate that his non-selection was due to an act of discrimination on the basis of sex, race, religion, and to out of the resumption of legal status of the contested decision.

A. In view of the foregoing, the Tribunal D+C;D+,E

The Applicant's selected "entire" of the t

d 9.774 s21(9.774)-s7.205568