





8. By Order No. 12 (NBI/2024), issued on 24 January 2024, the Applicant was directed to file a rejoinder to the Respondent's reply by 23 February 2024. On 21 February 2024, the Applicant filed a motion seeking an extension of time to file a rejoinder because he had received the MEU decision on 20 February 2024.

9. The Applicant, however, made an effort and filed his rejoinder on 23 February 2024 as directed. Therefore, the motion was overtaken by events and is thus moot.

### **Parties' submissions on the receivability of the application**

#### Respondent's submissions

10. The Respondent contends that the application is not receivable *ratione materiae* because the decision to change a staff member's reporting lines is not a reviewable

Applicant's submissions

13. The Applicant contends that his application is receivable. Referring to *Obino* UNDT/2013/008, the Applicant submits in his rejoinder that:



