



Case No. UNDT/NBI/2014/102

Order No. 111 (NBI/2015)

9. The Tribunal heard the Parties on 25 September 2014. The Applicant and one other witness testified. The Tribunal admitted the written statement of one further witness for the Applicant, without objection from the Respondent. For his part, the Respondent called one witness. Closing submissions were filed by both Parties on 26 September 2014.

10. On 30 September 2014, the Tribunal issued Order No. 218 (NBI/2014) in which it found the second application receivable and granted the stay that the Applicant sought, pending management evaluation.

11. On 10 October 2014, the Tribunal issued Order No. 224 (NBI/2014) in which it fully set out its position in respect of the receivability and merits of the second application.

12. On 7 November 2014, the Applicant moved for execution of Order No.224 (NBI/2014) pursuant to arts. 32.2 and 36 of the Rules of Procedure.

13. Also, on 7 November 2014, the Applicant received the outcome of her second request for management evaluation.

14. In response to the motion for execution, the Respondent took the position that the Tribunal does not have the jurisdiction to decide on the motion for execution as Order No. 224 (NBI/2014), which was issued pending management evaluation, was no longer in force.

15. On 12 November 2014, the Applicant filed an application on the merits and an Application for *interim* relief pursuant to art. 14 of the Rules of Procedure.

16. The Respondent replied to the Application on 13 November 2014, and the Applicant filed her Rejoinder to the Respondent's Reply on 16 November 2014.

17. On 19 November 2014, the Tribunal issued Order No. 255 (NBI/2014) granting the *interim* relief sought by the Applicant.

18. Also on 19 November 2014, the Tribunal issued Order No. 256 (NBI/2014) dismissing the Applicant's motion for execution.

19. On 20 November 2014, the Tribunal issued Order No. 259 (NBI/2014) urging the Parties to "consult and deliberate on having this matter informally resolved or mediated".

20. On 24 December 2014, the Parties jointly informed the Tribunal that "there is a likelihood that the case may settle informally." The Parties moved the Tribunal to formally refer the matter "for mediation".

21. On 6 January 2015, the Tribunal issued Order No. 001 (NBI/2015) suspending these proceedings and referred the matter to be mediated by the Office of the United Nations Ombudsman & Mediation Services (OMS). The Office was to "advise the Tribunal on the status of the mediation process by 6 February 2015."

22. On 1 February 2015, the Applicant filed an application for leave to file further submissions for an order of execution of Order No. 255 (NBI/2014) pursuant to arts. 32.2 and 36 of the Rules of Procedure.

23. The Respondent replied to that application for execution on 6 February 2015.

24. On 11 March 2015, the Applicant filed a Motion to Resume Proceedings in this matter.

25.

due on 6 February. The Tribunal also directed the Parties to consult and provide the Tribunal with a common position as to their willingness to have this matter settled.

27. On 31 March 2015, OMS informed the Tribunal that the “Parties have agreed to actively continue to attempt to settle this case in mediation.”

DELIBERATIONS

28. Pursuant to art. 10.3 of the Statute of the Tribunal and arts. 15 and 19 of the Rules of Procedure, the Tribunal makes the following **ORDERS**:

- a) The proceedings in this matter remain **SUSPENDED**;
- b) The Office of the United Nations Ombudsman & Mediation Services will provide the Tribunal with the Report on the status of the mediation by **29 May 2015**;
- c) The Applicant’s Motions dated 1 February and 11 March 2015 are **DISMISSED**;
- d) The Registry will serve a copy of the present Order on the Office of the Ombudsman as stipulated in art. 15.4 of the Rules of Procedure.

(Signed)

Judge Vinod Boolell

Dated this 1st day of April 2015

Entered in the Register on this 1st day of April 2015

(Signed)

Abena Kwakye-Berko, Registrar, Nairobi