

Before: Judge Goolam Meeran

Registry: New York

v. Registrar: N

6. However, the Tribunal notes that this is the second request, by the Applicant, for suspension of action. The first request, made on 2 March 2015, was refused on the ground that the Applicant stated that he had not requested management evaluation of the decision he was contesting. By Order No. 36 (NY/2015), dated 3 March 2015, the Tribunal held in relation to the Applicant's first request that "there being no pending management evaluation, the application for suspension of action is fatally defective and stands to be dismissed".

7. The current request was preceded by a request for management evaluation made on 3 March 2015, wherein the Applicant requested: (a) suspension of the job posting; (b) review of the job requirement by both the "IC" and the "CEO"; and (c) republishing the job posting so that the el

11. However, since he is a self-represented person, the Tribunal considers it appropriate to inform the Applicant that the proper construction to place on the MEU's email is that, should he wish to pursue the matter, he should file a substantive claim before the Dispute Tribunal together with a request for interim measures in accordance with art. 14 of the Tribunal's Rules of Procedure.

Conclusion

12. This application for suspension of action is refused.

(Signed)

Judge Goolam Meeran

Dated this 9th day of March 2015