
UNITED NATIONS DISPUTE TRIBUNAL

Case Nos.: UNDT/NY/2018/011
UNDT/NY/2018/032
UNDT/NY/2020/008
Order No.: 99 (NY/2020)
Date: 8 June 2020
Original: English

Before: Judge Joelle Adda
Registry: New York
Registrar: Nerea Suero Fontecha

PAPATHANASSIOU

v.

SECRETARY-GENERAL
OF THE UNITED NATIONS

ORDER
ON CASE MANAGEMENT

Counsel for Applicant:

Katya Melliush, OSLA

Counsel for Respondent:

Matthias Schuster, UNICEF
Chinonyelum Esther Uwazie, UNICEF

Case Nos. UNDT/NY/2018/011
UNDT/NY/2018/032
UNDT/NY/2020/008
Order No. 99 (NY/2020)

Consideration

6. Considering the particular circumstances of the present cases, the Tribunal will allow the additional evidence filed by the Applicant on 4 June 2020, also taking into account thereto.

7. , *inter alia*, submits that:

It is respectfully submitted that such an amendment will not unduly prejudice the Respondent, who may be afforded an opportunity to reply to it specifically. This is unlikely to cause a significant delay to the course of proceedings. By contrast, denial of such an amendment might prejudice the Applicant significantly, by denying him the right to pursue a remedy which, in all the circumstances of the case, ought *prima facie* to apply in his case.

8. The Respondent, in response, objects to the motion, contending that:

The Applicant has provided no valid justification for the late filing of additional claims at this late stage, more than three months after the filing of the Application. No reasonable explanation has been provided why the additional relief has not been claimed before. The Applicant has been represented by the Office of Staff Legal Assistance throughout the case and related cases. The fact that different OSLA coun9(OSL)9(anc)6(e)4(s)9()9 i-69(th.0711,im)16

Case Nos

15. Unless otherwise ordered, on receipt of the latest of the aforementioned statements or at the expiration of the provided time limits, the Tribunal will adjudicate on the matter