

Introduction

1. On 5 January 2022, the Applicant filed the application in which she contests the “[d]ecision to close complaints of harassment and abuse of authority without proper investigation, possible other decision to close a complaint following investigation”. Appended to the application, the Applicant submitted a motion for disclosure of certain written documentation.

2. On 4 February 2022, the Respondent duly filed the reply in which he submits that the application is without merit.

Consideration

The issues of the present case

3. The Appeals Tribunal has consistently held that “the Dispute Tribunal has the inherent power to individualize and define the administrative decision challenged by a party and to identify the subject(s) of judicial review”. When defining the issues of a case, the Appeals Tribunal further held that “the Dispute Tribunal may consider the application as a whole”. See *Fasanella* 2017-UNAT-765, para. 20, as affirmed in *Cardwell* 2018-UNAT-876, para. 23.

4. In the application, the Applicant refers to three inter-related but distinct decisions that she wishes to challenge. These are the decisions of 9 July 2021 to close the Applicant’s complaints of misconduct against: (a) the Under-Secretary-General of the Department of Global Communications (“DGC”); (b) the Executive Officer of DGC; and (c) an Administrative Assistant in DGC, who worked as the Applicant’s Personal Assistant.

5. Accordingly, the basic issues of the present case can be defined as follows:

to disclose the relevant documentation, then he is to state his arguments therefor. The parties are further to inform the Tribunal if any of them wish additional written documentation to be produced.

13. As for oral evidence, the Tribunal notes none of the parties have requested a hearing in their initial submissions. In this regard, arts. 16.1 and 2 of the Rules of Procedure provide that “[t]he judge hearing a

