

Case No.

engage and cooperate with the Applicant's Counsel. The record indicates that the Applicant's Counsel has unreasonably refused to cooperate with the Respondent's Counsel and this is the reason for the parties' failure to comply with Order No. 050 (NY/2023).

7. The Tribunal instructed the parties to file a routine joint submission which will assist the Tribunal in adjudicating the case. The Tribunal finds the Applicant's arguments as to why he could not comply with Order No. 050 (NY/2023) without merit. There are no compelling reasons to hold a case management discussion at this stage. The Tribunal redirects the parties to comply with Order No. 050 (NY/2023) and on exceptional basis will grant the parties further time to make the required submission. The Appeals Tribunal has stated that "[p]roper observance must be given to judicial orders. The absence of compliance may merit contempt procedures" (see *Igunda* 2012-UNAT-255).

8. Pursuant to art. 19 of the Rules of Procedure of the Dispute Tribunal, the Tribunal may at any time issue an order or give any direction which appears to be appropriate for the fair and expeditious disposal of a case and to do justice to the parties.

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

9. By **Friday, 29 September 2023**, the parties are to file a jointly-signed statement providing, under separate headings, the following information:

a.

Case No. UNDT/NY/2022/015

Order No. 071 (NY/2023)