

Michael Lennard
Chief, International Tax Cooperation Section
Financing for Development Office
U.N. Dept. of Economic and Social Affairs
2 U.N. Plaza, Room D2272
United Nations
New York, N.Y. 10017

October 24, 2014

Dear Mr. Lennard

I am writing corerning the proposal to modify the commentary on article 9 of the UN Model Convention. USCIBs quite concerned with the proposed changes, partly because of the potential effect of those changes and partly because of the lack of process surrounding the development of both those changes and told Transfer Pricing ManuaThis is relevant because the proposed charlighly important for avoiding international double taxation of corporate

profits that a common

understanding prevails on how the arm's length principle should be applied." Nevertheless, the proposal treats the UN's Transfer Pricing Manual assother source of authoritative assistance in the field of transfer pricing. Those mmentary mentions that the Manual "seeks broad consistency" with the OECT Dansfer Pricing Guidelines However, if true consistency Á CE Š Z P} o U Š Z CE Á } µ o v } v ( BC Dansfer Disk Dansfer

necessarily consistent at lalA broad consistency that pertsnicountries to argue that their

"ÆE4pBo"AÔ©®#R5NO;ÃÁ±IšsZ#"š-Zw7#CEGQÕèD¨®å©PMSIXINAtFF®©EÀNS,ë rB\$i%N± "Fž± ""Fš"Õ=N

r B93D®±

OECD ransfer

Pricing GuidelinesThis was confirmed, for example, the report of the sixth session of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> USCIB promotes open markets, competitiveness and innovation, sustainable development and corporate responsibility, supported by international engagement and prudent regulation. Its members include top U.S. based topal companies and professional services firms from every sector of our economy, with operations in every region of the world. With a unique global network encompassing leading international business organizations, USCIB provides business views to professers and regulatory authorities worldwide, and works to facilitate international trade and investment.

The rationale that the Manual was only intended to provide practical agrice and not a second source of authoritative guidance was the prialcipason given that it was not necessary to have an inclusive process in developing the Manual. (See the report of the eighth session of the committee of tax experts, paragraphplage 6) The recognition of the Manual as a source of authoritative guidance rathlean a practical manuals an attempt to retroactively change the tatus of the Manual but a Manual intended to be used as a source of authoritative guidance hould have equired a more inclusive process than actually took place. This lack of transparency has been perpetuated by the facttithe proposed changes were developed and put forward for approval without any opportunity for input by stakeholders, including the many governalib 7.62 449 thout a sa 0cb 7.62 449 thouhe ab

committee4developng the Manual.

stding of the e wo on to

position reflected in the OECD ansfer Pricing Guidelines) whether developing or developed be given more weight? It seems inconsistent for G20 countries do ther nonOECD countries that are nowadvocating for their views to be reflected in the OECD ansfer Pricing Guidelines to accept concessions from others repair aipating in the development of those uidelines and then undercut the very outcome of those negotiations arguing elsewhere for positions that were rejected in that forum. If  $v \in V$   $v \in V$ 

]v ]vš C

THE SHIPS OF