

1st Drafting Session / FfD
Part III. Monitoring, Data and Follow-up
January 29, 2015

I make these remarks on behalf of Egypt, Liechtenstein, Norway, Pakistan, Peru, , Switzerland and my own country Republic of Korea.

One key element that our group has identified is the importance of an efficient review mechanism for the post-2015 development agenda including the SDGs.

It is important reiterating that we agreed to have one single agenda to eradicate poverty and promote sustainable development post-2015, containing one single set of objectives, along with an integrated financing mechanism and a reporting, follow-up and review framework which are both part of the agenda and not an “after-thought”.

We need to ensure that a suitable reporting, follow-up and review mechanism for financing and other Means of Implementation are part of the overall follow-up and review post-2015 framework. When considering possible FfD follow-up and review mechanisms, we therefore have to look into how such mechanisms are complementary and integrated into the HLPF on sustainable development which has been established as a universal platform to provide political leadership, guidance and recommendations for sustainable development, follow up and review progress in the implementation of sustainable development commitments, including progress in the implementation of all the outcomes of the major United Nations conferences and summits in the economic, social and environmental fields, as well as their respective means of implementation.

The same thinking should be applied when looking at another possible policy idea identified by the Secretariat in the annex of the elements paper: the dedicated intergovernmental or expert body, inclusive of institutional stakeholders, to monitor FfD commitments at the global level. We would appreciate a clarification on how this idea would work together with the HLPF.