



9. On 15 October 2021, the UNDT issued a decision in which it found that Mr. Dorji's application was not a timely request a management evaluation. The UNDT also found that the Secretary-General's other receivability objections were valid. Accordingly, the UNDT summarily dismissed the application.

Procedure

10. On 15 October 2021, Mr. Dorji filed an appeal with the United Nations Appeals Tribunal (UNAT) and requested that the Tribunal set aside the UNDT decision.

11. The UNAT has jurisdiction to review the UNDT decision.

Mr. Dorji's appeal

12. The UNAT has jurisdiction to review the UNDT decision.

contract, damages to his health and emotional trauma, follow-up medical treatment expenses and pension.

The Secretary-General's Answer

14. The Secretary-General submits that the UNDT correctly dismissed Mr. Dorji's application as non-receivable *ratione materiae*, having found that he had failed to timely request management evaluation in accordance with Staff Rule 11.2, Article 8(3) of the UNDT Statute, and relevant UNAT case law. In the present case, Mr. Dorji's alleged "coerced resignation" purportedly occurred in March 2019 and became effective in April 2019. It is undisputed that he requested management evaluation regarding his resignation more than two years later, on 25 June 2021.

15.

THE

23. It follows from the above provisions that a party appealing a judgment of the UNDT is unlikely to succeed in having the judgment reversed, modified or the case remanded to the UND

THE UNITED NATIONS A

30. We are satisfied that the Dispute Tribunal properly considered the facts and the applicable statutory law and jurisprudence in arriving at its decision that Mr. Dorji's application was not receivable.

31. In the light of the foregoing, the appeal must be dismissed.

THE UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL

Judgment No. 2022-UNAT-1278