

Counsel for Respondent/Applic nt!

" o# med A\$dou**\&' (**A

Counsel for Appell nt/Respondent!

Jo#n ' tompor

Judgment No. 2019-UNAT-913

Judgment No. 2019-UNAT-913

- \$. T#is constitutes misconduct under C=/<L, /2012-00B%sDecsE 1.@2 3%2c3 nd 2m3M nd
- c. **DTE**#e ppropri te s nction is dismiss l.
- 12. :n its Judgment%t#e UN, T rescinded t#e contested decision to dismiss "r.'iddi7i nd repl ced t#e disciplin r. me sure 8it# suspension 8it#out p. for t#ree-mont# period. T#e UN, T set n in-lieu compens tion mount s one-.e r net \$ se s l r. \$ sed on "r.'iddi7i;s s l r. on 4 No6em\$er 2010. =inding t#ere 8 s n \$sence of e6idence of ddition l # rm%t#e UN, T re9ected #is cl im for compens tion under Article 102832b3 of t#e UN, T't tute.
- 13. 5i6en t# t t#e e6idence 8 s essenti II. \$ sed on 8itness testimonies%t#e UN, T #eld

Judgment No. 2019-UNAT-913

imp rti lit. nd/or competence. :n ddition%t#e 8itness st tements 8ere not t -en under o t#. =urt#er%t#e UN, T #e ring re6e led t# t " r. 'iddi7i 8 s spe -ing = rsi 8#en #e m de t#e lleged st tement 2not <nglis#3 8#ic# 8 s not mentioned in n. 8itness st tement summ ries or in t#e in6estig tion report. T#e UN, T noted t#is 8 s critic I since it could not \$e e>cluded t# t t#e st tement 8 s not ccur tel. tr nsl ted \$. t#e 8itnesses. T#ere 8ere

Judgment No. 2019-UNAT-913

re7uests t# t t#e UN, T;s 8 rd of compens tion in lieu of rescission \$e reduced. :n support%t#e 'ecret r.-5ener I rgues t# t t#e UN, T erred in f ct nd I 8 in finding t# t t#ere 8 s not cle r nd con6incing e6idence t# t " r. 'iddi7i # d t#re tened to -ill ot#er st ff mem\$ers. T#e UN, T \$ sed its conclusion on t#e finding t# t t#e 8itness st tements 8ere unreli \$le%" r. 'iddi7i did not identif. specific st ff mem\$ers in #is t#re t% nd #is t#re t 8 s not sufficientl. serious. :n finding t#e 8itness st tements 8ere not reli \$le%t#e UN, T noted t#e. 8ere not t -en under o t# s re7uired in Nyambuza. Ao8e6er%t#e f cts of t#is c se re distinguis#ed from Nyambuza in t# t t#e 8itness st tements 8ere consistent 2" r. *R st ted t# t " r. 'iddi7i t#re tened to -ill " r. A< nd " r. " HM's. (" st ted t# t " r. 'iddi7i t#re tened to -ill " r. A< nd " r. " HM nd " r. <" testified t# t " r. 'iddi7i t#re tened to -ill " r. A< nd " r. " H3 T#is consistenc. is mple indici of reli \$ilit. in line 8it#

Judgment No. 2019-UNAT-913

mount to misconduct**M**ii**38**#et#er t#e s nction is proportion te to t#e offence**M** nd i**638**#et#er t#e st ff mem**\$**er;s due process rig#ts **8**ere respected.

She UN%S& finding that there as no clear and convincing evidence that Mr. Siddiqi had threatened to 'ill identified staff members

- 29. 1 e find t# t t#e UN, T erred in I 8 nd f ct le ding to m nifestl. unre son \$le decision 8#en it #eld t# t " r. ' iddi7i # d not t#re tened to ill identified st ff mem\$ers \$ut onl. # d m de n unspecified t#re t to ill CsomeI st ff mem\$ers.
- 30. T#e st tements of t#e t#ree 8itnesses% 8#o 8ere present during t#e meeting on 14 " . 2010 2"s. ("%"r. *R nd "r. <" 3% render cle r nd con6incing e6idence t# t "r.'iddi7i did not onl. utter n unspecified t#re t \$ut t# t #e # d t#re tened to -ill identified st ff memSers. =rom t#e Seginning%during t#e disciplin r. in6estig tion% nd Sefore t#e UN, T% t#e Sitnesses # 6e continuousl. nd consistentl. st ted t# t " r. 'iddi7i identified specific st ff mem\$ers nd mentioned n mes 8#en uttering #is t#re t to -ill. All t#ree 8itnesses greed t# t t le st t8o n mes 8ere mentioned%" r. A<%" r. 'iddi7i;s =irst Reporting &fficer% nd " r. " H% t#e Ae d of UN:C<=%Aer t Kone &ffice. T#eir testimonies differed s to 8#et#er "s. (" nd fourt# st ff mem\$er n med C om .eI Sere Iso t rgeted. T#e UN, T;s conclusion t# t%due to t#is contr diction%t#e e6idence 8 s insufficient%is unre son \$le. T#ere is no re son to \$elie6e% nd t#e UN, T did not find 1/4 t t#e 8 itnesses colluded nd - no 8 ingl. g 6e f Ise st tement. As Il t#ree of t#em #e rd t#e e> ct s me t8o n mes% n error c n lso \$e e>cluded. &n t#e contr r. 1/4 rem ining discrep notes \$et8een t#eir testimonies c n \$e e sil. e>pl ined gi6en t#e f ct t# t% | I t#ree 8 itnesses indic ted t# t " r. 'iddi7i 8 s 6er. upset%emotion | % ngr. % nd spo-e 6er. f st. Also% II t#ree 8itnesses testified t# t " r. 'iddi7i directl. ddressed " s. (" nd spo-e onl. to #er 8#ile " r. *R nd " r. <" 8ere sitting t t#eir des-s. T#erefore%" r. 'iddi7i 8ould not # 6e uttered t#e n me C" s. (" I \$ut s id C ou I inste d.
- 31. :t is Iso unre son \$le t# t t#e UN, T 7uestioned t#e credi\$ilit. of t#e 8itness st tements \$ec use C" r. <." . nd " r. *.R. testified t# t D' r. 'iddi7iE 8 nted to sc re t#ose 8#o 8ere conducting t#e spot c#ec- e>ercise nd " s. (." . 8 s in c# rge of t#e spot c#ec-s 8#ilst " r. A< nd " r. " H 8ere not in6ol6ed in conducting t#is e>erciseI.10 :n #is ppe I% t#e 'ecret r.-5ener I correctI. points out t# t t#e UN, T;s ssumption is not correct nd t# t \$ot# " r. A< nd " r. " H 8ere connected 8it# t#e spot c#ec-. Not onl. did " r. A< testif. to t#is

^{10:}mpugned Judgment%p r . 40.

Judgment No. 2019-UNAT-913

- 3B. T#e UN, T m inl. dre8 conclusion from its ssumption t# t " r. 'iddi7i onl. uttered gener I nd unspecified t#re t \$ut did not t#re ten to -ill identified st ff mem\$ers. Ao8e6er%t#is is f ctu I nd leg I error%\$ec use% s st ted \$o6e%t#ere is cle r nd con6incing e6idence t# t " r. 'iddi7i did t#re ten to -ill t le st t8o identified st ff mem\$ers.
- 34. Under t#e circumst nces% it 8 s | 8ful nd re son \$le presumption of t#e 'ecret r.-5ener I to consider " r. 'iddi7i;s t#re t 8 s serious. T#ree 8itnesses # 6e testified t# t #e # d uttered t#re t to -ill identified st ff mem\$ers%n mel. " r. A< nd " r. " H. " r. 'iddi7i # d poor rel tions#ip 8it# #is = R&%" r. A<%for long time.
- 30. T#e UN, T;s re soning t# t t#e C8itnesses confirmed t# t t#e. did not t -e t#e t#re t seriousl. nd did not report it to t#e rele6 nt ut#oritiesI # s no merit. 13 T#e UN, T itself found t# t " s. (" # d informed " r. 'iddi7i;s =R&%" r. A<% \$out t#e incident 8#o t#en #imself filed compl int g inst " r. 'iddi7i. =urt#ermore

The United Nations Appeals Tribunal							
			Judgment No. 2019	-UNAT-913			

The United Nations Appeals Tribunal						
				Judgment No. 2019-U	NAT-913	

Judgment No. 2019-UNAT-913

mem\$ers. T#erefore%t#e Administr tion is \$est suited to select n de7u te s nction \$le to fulfil t#e gener I re7uirements of t#ese -inds of me sures! s nction 8it#in t#e limits st ted \$. t#e respecti6e norms%sufficient to pre6ent repetiti6e 8rongdoing% punis# t#e 8rongdoer%s tisf. 6ictims nd restore t#e dministr ti6e \$ I nce%etc.

T# t is 8#. onl. if t#e s nction imposed ppe rs to \$e \$l t ntl. illeg l% r\$itr r.% dopted \$e.ond t#e limits st ted \$. t#e respecti6e norms% e>cessi6e% \$usi6e% discrimin tor. or \$surd in its se6erit.% t# t t#e 9udici l re6ie8 8ould conclude in its unl 8fulness nd c# nge t#e conse7uence 2i.e.%\$. imposing different one3 T#is r tion le is follo8ed in t#e 9urisprudence of t#is Tri\$un l.DE:f t# t is not t#e c se%9udici l re6ie8 s#ould not interfere 8it# dministr ti6e discretion.

@3.

Judgment No. 2019-UNAT-913

', 1.

@/. T#e 'ecret r.-5ener I;s ppe I is gr nted nd Judgment No. UN, T/201//0/4 is #ere\$. 6 c ted.

&rigin | nd Aut#orit ti6e Persion! <nglis#

, ted t#is $29^{t\#}$ d . of " rc# 2019 in Ne**8 H**or-%United ' t tes.

-Signed. -Signed. -Signed.

Judge * nierim%+residing Judge R i-os Judge " urp#.

<ntered in t#e Register on t#is 29^{t#} d . of " . 2019 in Ne8 Hor-%United 't tes.

-Signed.