



Facts

1. On 10 August 2014, the Applicant, a staff member of the 'International' (Administrative Organization) (O-), filed a submission with the Geneva Office of the United Nations Dispute Tribunal, UNDT, entitled 'The Applicant's, in his view, seems to be contesting a series of decisions taken by the Office's legal staff sufficed after failing to (O)!' on 15 August 2016, requesting, inter alia, the amendment of the 'Statement of Intent' and the 'Statement on Final Status'.

2. The submission was registered under Case No. UNDT/GVA/2014/062.

6. On 12 August 2014, the Geneva Office of the Tribunal announced the 'Decision' regarding the 'Statement of Intent' and 'Information' of the Applicant that the 'Decisions' taken by the 'Head of Office' (O), failing to 'submit' the 'Statement' of the United Nations Appeal Tribunal, UNAT, and not of the UNDT. 'The Applicant' and 'undated' that the Applicant 'may' be 'eligible' to 'submit' the 'Statement' to the UNDT, as the UNDT 'is not competent to deal' with the 'contested' decision and 'as a result' of 'the' 'Statement' to the UNAT,.

4. On 14 August 2014, the Applicant sent an email to the Geneva Office, asking for 'further' 'information', 'at that' 'time'.

Considerations

; . As a first step, the Tribunal as to determine if the 'Statement' is 'admissible' against the 'Office'. The Tribunal 'observes' that the 'Statement' is a matter of 'fact', 'the' 'main' 'purpose' 'is' 'to' 'submit' 'the' 'Statement' to the 'Independent Office' and 'is' 'not' 'related' to the 'Applicant's' 'see' Christensen 2016-UNAT-66;-. Accordingly, the Tribunal 'deems' that the 'Statement' is 'admissible', at its 'own' 'initiative' and 'in' 'accordance' with 'Article' '1' 'of' the 'Procedures' of 'Pursuant', to 'decide' on the 'Applicant's' 'Statement' 'in' 'a' 'summary' 'judgment', 'the' 'Applicant' 'is' 'admitted' as an 'Applicant' 'too' to 'deal' with 'issues' of 'Appeal' 'see' Chahrour 2014-UNAT-406, Gehr 2016-UNAT-616-.

6. The sole effect of the Tribunal's decision is the determination and finding of article 2.1(a) and article 6.1(a) of the Statute, . . .

2.1. The Director's decision is a "sole prerogative" and is a judgment on a "discretionary matter", as indicated for article 6, paragraph 1, of the present statute, against the "Geneva" as the Chief Administrative Officer of the United Nations.

(a) To allow an administrative decision to be appealed to the non-Sole Arbitrator in the terms of a commitment of the Secretary-General.

6.1 An appeal against article 2, paragraph 1, of the present statute may be filed . . .

(a) Any staff member of the United Nations, including the United Nations Secretary-General's administrative staff and colleagues.

A. The Tribunal notes that the Applicant is a staff member of the (O, . . .) is not eligible for the United Nations Secretary-General's staff and colleagues, and that she does not contest an administrative decision taken by the Secretary-General of the United Nations (UN), as the Chief Administrative Officer of the UN. Furthermore, the Tribunal

Case No. UNDT/GVA/2014/062

Judgment No. UNDT/2014/111