Case No.: UNDT/NY/2019/036

Judgment No.: UNDT/2020/120

Introduction

1. The Applicant, a staff member of the United Nations Security Council Counter

Terrorism Committee Executive Directorate, challenges the decision not to select her

for a position of Political Affairs Officer at the P-3 level in the Asia and

the Pacific Division of the Department of Political and Peacebuilding Affairs and

Department of Peace Operations /DPPA-DPO . In response, the Respondent

submits that the application is without merit.

2. For the reasons stated below, the Tribunal is satisfied that the Applicant was

afforded full and fair consideration and rejects the application.

Facts

3. The post was advertised from 11 February to 28 March 2019 on Inspira (the

online jobsite for the United Nations Secretariat). At that time, the Applicant was on

temporary assignment as Political Affairs Officer at the P-3 level in the section where

the post was advertised.

4. The Applicant applied for the post through Inspira on 14 February 2019.

5. On 25 February 2019, the Director, APD/DPPA-DPO, who was the Hiring

Manager, informed the Applicant in person that she had not been selected for the post

because she had not applied for the post. After the Applicant stated that she had indeed

applied for the position, she met with the Hiring Manager, to express her concerns that

she had not been fairly considered for the post.

6. The recruitment process was put on hold following the concerns raised by the

job application, along with other

and not released, was

manually released to the Hiring Manager.

Page 2 of 7

Case No.: UNDT/NY/2019/036

Judgment No.: UNDT/2020/120

7. The Hiring Manager reviewed the additional applications received from roster

candidates, including the Applicant application. By memorandum dated 4 March

2019, the Hiring Manager submitted a comparative analysis of the four most suitable

candidates to the Head of Department. The Applicant was among them. On 12 March

2019, the Hiring Manager informed the Applicant in person that she had not been

selected for the post.

Consideration

Legal framework

8. The Secretary-General has broad discretion in the selection and appointment of

staff (see Abbassi 2011-UNAT-110; Frohler 2011-UNAT-141; Charles 2013-UNAT-

286; art. 101.1 of the Charter of the United Nations and staff regulations 1.2(c) and

4.1).

9. In matters of staff selection, it is the role of the Dispute Tribunal to review the

challenged selection process to determine whether the applicable regulations and rules

have been applied and whether a candidate has received full and fair consideration,

discrimination and bias are absent, proper procedures have been followed, and all

relevant material has been taken into consideration (see for instance, Rolland

2011-UNAT-122; Aliko 2015-UNAT-540; Verma 2018-UNAT-829; Kinyanjui 2019-

UNAT-

to substitute its decision for that of the

Administration.

10. The official acts of the Respondent enjoy a presumption of regularity (Rolland

2011-UNAT-122). If the management is able to even minimally show that an

fair consideration, then the presumption

of law stands satisfied (Finniss UNDT/2012/200 (affirmed by 2014-UNAT-397)).

Page 3 of 7

Case No.: UNDT/NY/2019/036

Judgment No.: UNDT/2020/120

11.

Case No.: UNDT/NY/2019/036

Judgment No.: UNDT/2020/120

for the job, she should have been selected over the other three shortlisted candidates, who were all male.

16. In his reply, the Respondent explains that after the Administration realized that candidature for the post had erroneously been withheld in Inspira, the recruitment process was put on hold to allow the Hiring Manager to review the job applications from the rostered candidates that had been screened out in error, including application. Having proceeded to the review of these additional applications, on 4 March 2019, the Hiring Manager provided the Head of Department with a comparative analysis of the four most suited roster candidates, including the Applicant.

17.

Case No.:

Case No.: UNDT/NY/2019/036

Judgment No.: UNDT/2020/120

25. The Applicant has not shown that she was discriminated against or that any other undue motive tainted the selection process.

Conclusion

26. In light of the above, the application is rejected.

(Signed)

Judge Joelle Adda

Dated this 15th day of July 2020

Entered in the Register on this 15^{th} day of July 2020

(Signed)

Nerea Suero Fontecha, Registrar, New York