Case No.: UND

UNDT/NBI/2019/066

UNDT/2021

UNITED NATIONS DISPUTETRIBUNAL

JudgmenNo.:



Abena KwakyeBerko

**BARUD** 

٧.

SECRETARYGENERAL OF THE UNITED NATIONS

## Introduction

1. This is an application filed by the Applicant contest**the** United Nations
Hybrid Operation in Darfus (UNAMID) decision not to renew her fixeterm
appointment beyond i the contested decision The
Respondent argues that the thentested decision was lawful becaute AMID did not

17. Her post had been classifieeds Administrative Assist by the Field

personnel action advising of the changehen job title. This was demonstrated by UNAM s and reliance on thenew FMA/TORs to justifyher inclusion among the pool of FMA staff in the CRP and to justify the termination decision.

- 22. The FMA/TORs of 15 May 2018 were applied toher retroactively as the did not fall within the actual duties, shewas performing during the period covered by the CRP which require the latest two &PAS documents and the PHP. The CRASS bound by aperformance period not exceeding 31 Math 2018 which marked the end of the seond ePAS cycle. Her placement within a CRP pool of FMAS represented a procedural error vitiating the decision to terminate appointment.
- 23. Throughout her career with UNAMID, she performed her administre duties faithfully and always exessed due diligence in the interest of the Organization. This included alerting UNAMID management about practices within FMU which expose UNAMID to corruption, thefts, nepotism and overpayments, among other risksNone of her concerns were addressed. Immesocases, she was directed byher superiors to refer matters to other offices when they failed to address them knowing that the concerns she raised fell within their responsibilities. As a result of such efforts, her was unfairly characterized over time astrouble-maker who did not get along with others and several measures were taken against her to marginalize her and her rolter, removeher from any supervisory roldespite being the most senior FMU staff membeafter the Chief and by regularly delaying the finalization ofhere-PAS.
- 24. None of the FMU Chiefs were properly appointed to the jobs. One abused his authority and she raised concerns about his wrongdoings. He was allowed to resign to avoid the negative utcome of an investigation into his acerdic qualifications. The other colleague had no background in FMU and relied fully on the FMU colleague with whom she was falsely compared in the CRP. Another colleague was appointed as Officein-Charge of FMU despite the Applicant having longer and more diverse experience.

Case No.: UNDT/NBI/2019/066

Judgment No.UNDT/2021/017

25. The Applicant submits that as result of the contested decision, she has

sufferedemotionalharm in the form ofanxiety, stress, insomnia, migraine, heart and

stomach pains.

26. The Applicant requests the following reliefs:

a. rescission of the contested decision

b. retroactive reinstatement in service from 1 **201**9 and for her to be

considered as having had continuous service without any break including all

applicable benefits athentitlements;

c. for UNAMID Administrators to be held accountable for bias, unfair

practices as well as for abuse of authority and procedures leading to the

breach of her right to due proceasd

d. compensationfor mental anguish loss of

reputation, humiliation and unceintay.

The Respondent

27.

nominated by UNAMID management and staff representatives both the Field Staff Union and National Staff Union. None of the Panel members were from the Engineering Section. The basis for their scoring is also-divedumented.

- 34. The CRPTORs required UNAMID to eview staff members by section, functions andgrade across duty stations. Within a sectistraff members were to be reviewed againsother staff members performing the same or similar functions at the same level and category. The determination of which sthembers were to be compared together with leach section was primarily guided by the functional title as
- 35. In cases where the functional title did not reflect the actual functions performed, the Chief Human Resources Offi individuals fell into which occupational group within the same occupational grade while documenting the basis upon which the determination was made.
- at she performed. Although her functional tetlwas Administrative Assistant, the TORs for her position whichthe FMU Chief sent on 15 May 2018 show that she was performing FMA functions. The PHP that she submitted for the CRP stated that since 15 May 2018the Applicant was working asnaFMA within FMU.
- 37. The Applicant makes arious allegations against the the the the thick and current FMU Chiefs. However, neither had any input in the CRP. The Applibast failed to discharge her burden of showing that the condected is is in was based on improper motives. The A retaliation against her for alerting senior management about corruption and for whistleblowing are unsupported by evidence.
- 38. In view of the foegoing, the Respondersubmits that the Applicat is not entitled to any relief. She has not demonstrated any procedural or substantive breach of her rights, nor has the Applicand duced any evidence of harm. The Applicant has to support a claim of both recedural breaches and breaches of a fundamentare.

FMU. As rightly argued by the Aphipeant, this analysis is irregular

Case No.: UNDT/NBI/2019/066

Case No.: UNDT/NBI/2019/066

claim<sup>21</sup>. It is declined.

(Signad)

JudgeRachel Sophie Sikwese

Dated this 3rd day of March 2021

Entered in the Register on the day of March 2021

(Signd)

Abena KwakyeBerko, Registrar, Nairobi

<sup>21</sup> *Kalln* 2017-UNAT-742 and subsequent judgments

Page17 of 17